



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,007	08/21/2006	Oliver Denzler	SMB-PT180 (PC 05 063 B US	7070
3624 7590 05/07/2009 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER REIS, RYAN ALEXANDER	
			ART UNIT 3752	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/07/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center"><b>Interview Summary</b></p>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/590,007	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DENZLER, OLIVER	
	<b>Examiner</b> RYAN REIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 3752	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) RYAN REIS. (3) ROBERT BALLARINI.  
(2) DAVIS HWU. (4) CHRISTOPH WEIS.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant presented the product and disassembled the product.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,126,093 and US 6,513,731.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and examiner agreed that a proposed amendment defining the contoured end face tool attachment surface projecting from the threaded portion in the outflow direction would overcome the previous 103(a) rejection made in the Final Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ryan Reis/ Examiner, Art Unit 3752	/Len Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752
--	--